

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,904	12/01/2003	J. Rodney Walton	030412	3195
23696 7590 07/06/2010 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED 5775 MOREHOUSE DR.			EXAMINER	
			JAIN, RAJ K	
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2472	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/725,904 WALTON ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit RAJ JAIN 2472 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 May 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/725,904

Art Unit: 2472

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 8-12, 24, 25, 27-31 and 33-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kari (US 6,597,682 B1) in view of Wilson et al (US 2004/0176097 A1).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 20, 25, 30, 34, 37, 40 and 43, Kari discloses a method for processing information in a communication system (Fig. 1; abstract), comprising:

partitioning at an access point, a control channel used for transmitting control information into a plurality of subchannels (Figs. 2A & 2B col 3 lines 1-20; line 53 – col 4 line 14 a single control channel is subdived into several control subchannels).

selecting, for each of at least two user terminals (Fig. 2A while a single mobile is shown, however the system can support multiple terminals simultaneously), one of the subchannels to be used for transmitting control information from the access point to the respective user terminal, based on one or more selection criteria (col 3 lines 39-48 the selection criteria can be QOS); and transmitting control information from the access point to a particular user terminal on a particular subchannel selected for the respective user terminal (col 3 lines 1-20; line 53 – col 4 line 14 control information is transmitted to the mobile via one of the selected subchannels).

Kari fails to disclose transmitting from the access point, wherein at least two of the subchannels are operated at different data rates.

Wilson discloses transmitting from the access point wherein at least two of the subchannels are operated at different data rates (para 11).

Differing data rates of sub-channels allows for maximum efficiency of network limited bandwidth amongst users.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,904 Art Unit: 2472

Thus it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Wilson within Kari to allow for maximum efficiency of network limited bandwidth amongst users by providing different data rates between subchannels.

Regarding claims 2, Kari discloses control information is transmitted in a segment of a data frame specifically allocated for the control channel (Fig. 2A).

Regarding claims 3, 4, 21, 31, and 38, Kari discloses each subchannel is associated with a specific set of operating parameters (Fig. 2A, col 3 lines 1-20; line 53 – col 4 line 14which shows different parameters for different types of subchannels).

Regarding claims 10, and 11, Kari discloses performing a decoding procedure to decode the one or more subchannels, starting with a subchannel operated at a lowest data rate, until at least one of a plurality of conditions is met (Figs. 2).

Regarding claims 8, 19, 24, 29, 33, and 39, Kari discloses the one or more selection criteria are selected from the group consisting of a first criterion corresponding to a link quality associated with the respective user terminal (col 3 lines 39-48), a second criterion corresponding to quality of service requirements associated with the respective terminal (col 3 lines 1-20; line 53 – col 4 line 14), and a third criterion corresponding to a subchannel preference indicated by the respective terminal (col 4 line 14).

Regarding claim(s) 12, Kari discloses wherein the plurality of conditions includes a first condition indicating a failure to correctly decode one of the plurality of subchannels (col 2 lines 6-25; col 3 lines 25-30; claim 8, response signaling provides for indication whether or not the decoding of the subchannel was successful or not).

Regarding claim(s) 13, Kari discloses wherein the plurality of conditions includes a second condition indicating that control information designated for the user terminal has been obtained from one of the plurality of subchannels (col 2 lines 6-25; col 3 lines 25-30).

Regarding claim(s) 14, 27, 35, 41 and 44, Kari discloses wherein the plurality of conditions includes a third condition indicating that all subchannels have been processed (col 2 lines 6-25; col 3 lines 25-30).

Application/Control Number: 10/725,904 Art Unit: 2472

Regarding claim(s) 15, Kari discloses wherein performing a decoding procedure comprises: determining whether information transmitted on a subchannel has been correctly received based on a quality metric corresponding to the respective subchannel (Fig. 2; col 2 lines 6-25; col 3 lines 25-30; a channel metrics indicates the channel usage for all the available channels and their quality levels).

Regarding claim 16, While Kari explicitly does not disclose a cyclic redundancy check (CRC) check, however, one skilled in the art will appreciate that CRC is inherent within a wireless system for improving quality of voice/data transmission within a link, thus Kari inherently incorporates CRC within its system.

Regarding claim(s) 17, 28, 36, 42 and 45, Kari discloses determining whether control information designated for the user terminal is present in the respective subchannel, based on an identifier associated with the user terminal (Fig. 2; aside from control information each subchannel frame allocation between base station and mobile also incorporates source and destination addressing for defining of a user terminal identifier).

Regarding claims 18, Kari discloses plurality of user devices (Fig. 2) where each device inherently has a Medium Access Control (MAC) identifier assigned by the manufacturer of the device to uniquely identify each device.

Claims 5-7, 22, 23, 26 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kari (US 6,597,682 B1) in view of Wilson et al (US 2004/0176097 A1) and further in view of Kadous (US 2003/0165189 A1).

Regarding claim(s) 5, 22, 26 and 32, Kari and Wilson fail to disclose transmitting from lowest to highest data rates.

Kadous discloses transmitting from lowest to highest data rates (Paras 9, 81).

Non-uniform distribution of data rates provides overall spectral efficiency with a lower minimum "received" SNR or a higher overall spectral efficiency for a specified received SNR. Thus it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Kadous within Kari so as to improve overall spectral efficiency with appropriate SNR levels.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,904

Art Unit: 2472

Regarding claim(s) 6, 7 and 23, Wilson discloses subchannel that is transmitted first in the plurality of subchannels includes a field to indicate whether other subchannels are also being transmitted (Fig. 4 & 5, paras 45-47). Reasons for combining same as for independent claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAJ JAIN whose telephone number is (571)272-3145. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Raj K. Jain/

Examiner, Art Unit 2472